

Contents

Introduction	7
I. EVENTS OF THE REVOLUTION OF DIGNITY	11
1.1. Ukrainians did not have the right to revolt against the regime of Viktor Yanukovich.	13
1.2. An armed coup d'état took place in Ukraine in February 2014. The deposition of Yanukovich took place with blatant violations of Ukraine's Constitution; Ukraine's new government is illegitimate and consists of fascists (nationalists, Banderites, and anti-Semites).	17
1.3. Ukraine's statehood was destroyed as a result of the coup and a new state emerged to which Russia has no obligations.	23
1.4. The West blatantly interfered with Ukraine's internal affairs, thereby violating the international legal principle of non-intervention, ran the Euromaidan, and put pressure on Ukraine's authorities.	27
II. RUSSIA'S USE OF FORCE IN CRIMEA AND THE "INTEGRATION" OF THE PENINSULA	33
2.1. Russia's use of force against Ukraine was necessary to protect Russian citizens and compatriots.	36
2.2. Russia's use of force against Ukraine was lawful because the legitimate leaders of Ukraine (Yanukovich) and Crimea (Sergey Aksyonov) had requested it.	43
2.3. The events in Crimea are a case of secession and subsequent accession of the Republic of Crimea as an independent state to the Russian Federation.	48

2.4. Ukraine did not respect the principle of equality and the self-determination of peoples vis-à-vis the Crimean population, so the Crimeans had the right to secede.	63
2.5. The events in Crimea are similar to those in Kosovo, the Åland Islands, the island of Mayotte, the Falkland Islands, Puerto Rico, Gibraltar, Scotland, during the unification of Germany, in South Sudan, and other cases. Denying the legality of Crimea's secession, the West demonstrates its policy of double standards.	69
2.6. Crimea is historically Russian. Ukraine has exercised peaceful annexation of the peninsula since 1991. Russia did recognize this under certain conditions which Ukraine violated in 2014. The transfer of Crimea to Ukraine in 1954 was contrary to the constitutional law of the USSR	79
2.7. Budapest Memorandum on Security Assurances in connection with Ukraine's accession to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons of 5 December 1994 is a political document of declaratory nature; it contains no legal obligations, simply restating the provisions of the Helsinki Final Act; the only commitment contained therein is not to apply nuclear weapons against Ukraine; it only enshrined the prohibition to use force understood exclusively as direct hostilities; it only contains collective obligations of the assuring States and is not directly related to the general process of nuclear disarmament.	89
III. ARMED CONFLICT IN PARTS OF THE DONETSK AND LUHANSK REGIONS	93
3.1. There is a civil war going on in eastern Ukraine. Russia (especially its Armed Forces) is taking no part in it and bears no responsibility for these events.	95
3.2. The counter-terrorist operation is an illegal military operation waged by Kyiv in response to justifiable demands of the inhabitants of southeastern Ukraine.	99
3.3. "DPR" and "LPR" are subjects of international law.	103
General conclusions.	105
Notes	114